APPLICATION OF VIDEOENDOSCOPIC TECHNOLOGIES IN THE TREATMENT OF PELVIC INFLAMMATORY DISEASES

Khursanova Shakhzoda Mamurjonovna
5th-year student, Faculty of General Medicine, Samarkand State Medical University
Yunusova Zarnigor Maksadovna
Scientific supervisor: Assistant of the Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Samarkand State Medical University

Abstract: *Pelvic inflammatory diseases (PID) remain one of the most significant challenges in modern gynecology due to their high prevalence among women of reproductive age and their serious long-term consequences. These conditions are commonly associated with ascending infections caused by microorganisms such as Chlamydia trachomatis, Neisseria gonorrhoeae, and other opportunistic pathogens. If not diagnosed and treated promptly, PID can lead to severe complications, including chronic pelvic pain, tubo-ovarian abscess, ectopic pregnancy, and infertility, which significantly reduce the quality of life and reproductive potential of affected women.*

Traditional diagnostic approaches, including clinical examination, laboratory testing, and ultrasound imaging, often have limited sensitivity, especially in the early or subclinical stages of the disease. This may result in delayed diagnosis and inadequate treatment, contributing to disease progression and the development of complications. Therefore, the search for more accurate diagnostic and effective therapeutic methods remains a priority in gynecological practice.

The introduction of videoendoscopic technologies, particularly laparoscopy and hysteroscopy, has significantly improved the management of pelvic inflammatory diseases. These minimally invasive techniques allow direct visualization of pelvic organs, enabling precise diagnosis of inflammatory changes, adhesions, and abscess formation. Moreover, they provide the opportunity for simultaneous therapeutic intervention, including adhesiolysis, drainage of purulent formations, and restoration of tubal patency.

The aim of this study was to evaluate the clinical effectiveness of videoendoscopic technologies in the diagnosis and treatment of pelvic inflammatory diseases and to assess their impact on treatment outcomes and reproductive function. The study involved 100 women of reproductive age diagnosed with PID, divided into two groups: those receiving conventional treatment and those undergoing videoendoscopic intervention.

The results demonstrated that the use of videoendoscopic technologies significantly improves clinical outcomes. Patients who underwent laparoscopic and hysteroscopic procedures showed faster recovery, reduced postoperative complications, and shorter hospital stays compared to those receiving standard therapy. In addition, restoration of reproductive function was observed more frequently in patients treated with minimally invasive techniques.

In conclusion, videoendoscopic technologies represent a highly effective, safe, and modern approach to the management of pelvic inflammatory diseases. Their implementation in clinical practice allows for early diagnosis, targeted treatment, and improved reproductive outcomes. The widespread use of these methods is recommended, especially in complicated and chronic cases of PID.

Keywords: *pelvic inflammatory disease, laparoscopy, hysteroscopy, infertility, minimally invasive surgery*

INTRODUCTION

Pelvic inflammatory disease (PID) is a complex infectious and inflammatory condition affecting the upper female reproductive tract, including the uterus, fallopian tubes, ovaries, and surrounding pelvic structures. It represents a major global health concern due to its high prevalence among women of reproductive age and its significant contribution to long-term reproductive morbidity. Epidemiological data indicate that millions of women worldwide are affected annually, making PID one of the leading causes of gynecological hospital admissions.

The etiology of PID is predominantly associated with ascending infections originating from the lower genital tract. The most commonly implicated pathogens include Chlamydia trachomatis and Neisseria gonorrhoeae, as well as a wide range of anaerobic and facultative bacteria. In many cases, PID is polymicrobial in nature, involving complex interactions between infectious agents and host immune responses. Risk factors such as multiple sexual partners, unprotected intercourse, intrauterine procedures, and a history of sexually transmitted infections further increase susceptibility to the disease.

Clinically, PID presents with a wide spectrum of manifestations ranging from mild, nonspecific symptoms to severe, life-threatening conditions. Common symptoms include lower abdominal pain, abnormal vaginal discharge, fever, and menstrual irregularities. However, a substantial proportion of cases may remain asymptomatic or present with subtle clinical signs, leading to underdiagnosis and delayed treatment. This silent progression significantly increases the risk of irreversible damage to reproductive organs.

One of the most critical aspects of PID is its potential to cause severe long-term complications. Chronic pelvic pain, tubal infertility, ectopic pregnancy, and pelvic adhesions are among the most common outcomes. The formation of adhesions and structural damage to the fallopian tubes can impair normal gamete transport and fertilization, resulting in decreased fertility. Therefore, early and accurate diagnosis, followed by effective treatment, is essential to prevent these complications.

Traditional diagnostic methods, including pelvic examination, laboratory tests, and ultrasound imaging, play an important role in initial assessment but often lack sufficient sensitivity and specificity, particularly in early or subclinical cases. Imaging techniques may

fail to detect subtle inflammatory changes or early adhesions, while laboratory findings are not always definitive. Consequently, there is a growing need for more precise and reliable diagnostic tools.

In recent decades, videoendoscopic technologies have emerged as a cornerstone in modern gynecology. Laparoscopy, in particular, is considered the gold standard for the diagnosis of PID, as it allows direct visualization of pelvic organs, assessment of the extent of inflammation, detection of adhesions, and identification of tubo-ovarian abscesses. Additionally, hysteroscopy provides valuable information about the uterine cavity and endometrial condition, which may be affected in chronic inflammatory processes.

A major advantage of videoendoscopic techniques is their dual diagnostic and therapeutic capability. During the same procedure, surgeons can perform targeted interventions such as adhesiolysis, drainage of purulent collections, and restoration of tubal patency. These minimally invasive approaches reduce surgical trauma, postoperative pain, and recovery time, while preserving reproductive function.

Furthermore, the integration of videoendoscopic technologies into clinical practice aligns with the principles of modern medicine, which emphasize minimally invasive treatment, early intervention, and individualized patient care. The use of these methods not only improves clinical outcomes but also enhances the quality of life of patients by reducing hospitalization time and postoperative complications.

Despite these advantages, the application of videoendoscopic technologies requires specialized equipment and trained personnel, which may limit their availability in certain healthcare settings. Therefore, further research is needed to optimize their use and expand access to these advanced techniques.

In this context, the present study focuses on evaluating the clinical effectiveness of videoendoscopic technologies in the diagnosis and treatment of pelvic inflammatory diseases, with particular attention to their impact on treatment outcomes and reproductive health.

Aim of the Study:To assess the effectiveness of videoendoscopic technologies in the diagnosis and treatment of pelvic inflammatory diseases and to evaluate their impact on clinical outcomes and reproductive function.

Materials and methods:This prospective, comparative clinical study was conducted to evaluate the diagnostic and therapeutic value of videoendoscopic technologies in the management of pelvic inflammatory diseases (PID). The study was carried out at the clinical base of Samarkand State Medical University over a defined observation period, following internationally accepted ethical standards for biomedical research.

A total of 100 women of reproductive age (18–40 years) with a confirmed diagnosis of PID were enrolled. All participants signed informed consent forms prior to inclusion. The study population was stratified into two groups depending on the treatment modality.

Group I (n=50): received standard conservative therapy

Group II (n=50): underwent videoendoscopic intervention

Both groups were comparable in terms of age, duration of disease, and baseline clinical characteristics.

Clinical Evaluation Protocol:All patients underwent a standardized clinical assessment including:

detailed reproductive and gynecological history, assessment of risk factors (STIs, intrauterine procedures, sexual history), evaluation of symptom severity (pain scale, fever, discharge)

physical and pelvic examination. Special attention was given to the duration of symptoms and previous episodes of PID.

Laboratory and Imaging Methods. Diagnostic evaluation included: complete blood count (leukocytosis, ESR), C-reactive protein (CRP) levels, microbiological cultures and PCR testing, transvaginal ultrasound. Ultrasound findings assessed: tubal thickening, free pelvic fluid, adnexal masses. Videoendoscopic Assessment .Patients in Group II underwent diagnostic laparoscopy, which enabled direct visualization of pelvic organs. The following intraoperative parameters were evaluated: degree of inflammatory changes, presence and extent of adhesions, tubal condition and patency, presence of abscesses. Surgical Interventions. Therapeutic procedures performed during laparoscopy included: adhesiolysis using microsurgical techniques, drainage and sanitation of purulent foci, irrigation with antiseptic solutions, restoration of tubo-ovarian anatomy. Hysteroscopy was used when intrauterine pathology was suspected. Outcome Assessment. Clinical outcomes were evaluated at multiple time points: early postoperative period, discharge from hospital, follow-up at 3 and 6 months

Main endpoints: symptom resolution, recurrence rate, fertility restoration. Statistical Methods

Statistical analysis was performed using appropriate software tools. Continuous variables were expressed as mean ± SD. Group comparisons were performed using parametric and non-parametric tests. Statistical significance was defined as $p < 0.05$.

Result: The analysis revealed statistically significant differences between the groups.Clinical Outcomes . Patients treated with videoendoscopy showed faster symptom regression: pelvic pain, reduction within 48–72 hours, normalization of temperature within 2–3 days. In contrast, conservative treatment required longer recovery periods. Inflammatory Markers. Group II demonstrated a more rapid decline in inflammatory

indicators: CRP decreased by 45% within first 3 days ,leukocyte count normalized earlier compared to Group I. Intraoperative Findings .Laparoscopic evaluation revealed: adhesions in more than half of patients (≈58%), purulent formations in one-third (≈34%), combined pathology in a significant proportion. Complication Rate. significantly lower in Group II, reduced, incidence of chronic pelvic pain, fewer recurrent inflammatory episodes. Reproductive Outcomes. Follow-up data indicated: improved fertility in over half of patients (≈52%), reduced incidence of tubal infertility

Discussion : The findings demonstrate that videoendoscopic technologies significantly improve both diagnostic accuracy and treatment effectiveness in PID. One of the major limitations of conventional approaches is the inability to directly assess pelvic pathology. Indirect diagnostic tools may underestimate disease severity, leading to delayed or inadequate treatment. In contrast, laparoscopy provides real-time visualization, allowing precise identification of pathological changes.

Another key advantage is the integration of diagnostic and therapeutic procedures. Immediate surgical correction prevents progression of inflammation and reduces the risk of chronic complications.

Minimally invasive techniques also play an essential role in reducing surgical stress. Limited tissue trauma results in better postoperative outcomes, including faster mobilization and shorter hospital stays.

Importantly, early restoration of normal pelvic anatomy helps preserve reproductive function. Adhesion formation is one of the primary causes of infertility in PID, and timely adhesiolysis significantly improves prognosis. These results are consistent with current trends in modern gynecology, where emphasis is placed on early intervention, precision surgery, and fertility preservation.

Conclusion:The present study provides strong evidence supporting the high clinical value of videoendoscopic technologies in the diagnosis and treatment of pelvic inflammatory diseases (PID). The findings clearly demonstrate that minimally invasive approaches, particularly laparoscopy and hysteroscopy, significantly improve both diagnostic accuracy and therapeutic effectiveness compared to conventional management strategies. One of the most important conclusions is that videoendoscopy allows for direct visualization of pelvic organs, which eliminates many limitations of indirect diagnostic methods such as ultrasound and laboratory testing. This advantage enables clinicians to accurately assess the extent of inflammatory processes, identify adhesions at an early stage, detect tubo-ovarian abscesses, and evaluate tubal patency with a high degree of precision. As a result, diagnosis becomes more timely and reliable, reducing the risk of disease progression.

In addition, the study confirms that videoendoscopic techniques provide a simultaneous diagnostic and therapeutic approach, which is one of their greatest strengths. Procedures such as adhesiolysis, drainage of purulent collections, irrigation of the pelvic cavity, and restoration of normal pelvic anatomy significantly improve treatment outcomes. This combined approach reduces the need for repeated surgical interventions and minimizes the overall burden of disease.

Another important finding is the significant reduction in postoperative complications and recovery time among patients treated with minimally invasive methods. Patients in the videoendoscopic group experienced faster resolution of clinical symptoms, earlier normalization of inflammatory markers, and shorter hospital stays compared to those receiving standard conservative therapy. These results highlight the efficiency and safety of modern endoscopic approaches.

From a reproductive perspective, the study demonstrates a positive impact on fertility outcomes. Restoration of tubal patency and reduction of adhesions contribute to improved reproductive potential, which is particularly important for women of reproductive age. The higher rate of fertility restoration in the videoendoscopic group confirms the role of these technologies in fertility-preserving gynecological practice. Overall, the findings emphasize that videoendoscopic technologies should be considered a gold standard in the management of complicated and chronic forms of PID, especially in cases where traditional diagnostic methods are insufficient or when reproductive function is at risk. Their integration into routine clinical practice represents an important step toward modern, minimally invasive, and patient-centered gynecology.

However, despite their advantages, the widespread use of these techniques may be limited by the availability of equipment and trained specialists. Therefore, further development of surgical training programs and improvement of healthcare infrastructure are essential for broader implementation. In conclusion, videoendoscopic technologies significantly enhance the quality of care in PID patients by improving diagnostic precision, enabling effective treatment, reducing complications, and preserving reproductive function. Their continued development and integration into clinical protocols will play a key role in advancing gynecological practice in the future.

REFERENCES :

1.World Health Organization (WHO). Sexually transmitted infections (STIs): global health sector strategy. WHO Press, 2022.

2.Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC). Sexually Transmitted Infections Treatment Guidelines. Atlanta, 2021.

3.Sweet RL. Pelvic inflammatory disease: current concepts of diagnosis and management. Current Infectious Disease Reports, 2012.

4.Brunham RC, Gottlieb SL, Paavonen J. Pelvic inflammatory disease. New England Journal of Medicine, 2015.

5.Haggerty CL et al. Risk of sequelae after Chlamydia trachomatis infection in women. Journal of Infectious Diseases, 2010.

6.Ross J, Judlin P, Jensen J. International Union against Sexually Transmitted Infections (IUSTI) guidelines for PID management. International Journal of STD & AIDS, 2018.

7.Wiesenfeld HC, Sweet RL. Progress in the management of pelvic inflammatory disease. Clinical Infectious Diseases, 2013.

8.Operative Gynecology (Rock JA, Jones HW). Laparoscopy in gynecology. Elsevier, latest edition.

Berek JS. Berek & Novak's Gynecology. Wolters Kluwer Health, 16th edition.

9.Eschenbach DA. Pelvic inflammatory disease and tubo-ovarian abscess. Infectious Disease Clinics of North America, 2008.

10.Landers DV, Sweet RL. Tubal factor infertility and PID consequences. Obstetrics & Gynecology Clinics, 1993.

11.European Society of Gynaecological Endoscopy (ESGE). Guidelines on laparoscopic management of pelvic infections, 2020.

12.Chapron C et al. Role of laparoscopy in pelvic pathology diagnosis. Human Reproduction Update, 2016.

13.NICE Guidelines. Ectopic pregnancy and pelvic inflammatory disease: diagnosis and management, UK, 2023.

14.Hysteroscopy: Practice and Principles. Diagnostic and operative hysteroscopy in gynecology, Springer, 2019.