

THEORETICAL FOUNDATIONS OF PSYCHOLINGUISTICS IN FILM DISCOURSE

Barnoeva Madinabonu Akbarovna

(teacher of Bukhara State University) m.a.barnoyeva@buxdu.uz

This work explores how psycholinguistics applies to film discourse by looking at the connection between cognitive science and movies. While traditional film theory often relies on semiotics or psychoanalysis, this study takes an empirical approach based on how the brain processes different kinds of narrative input. It examines cognitive frameworks like Situation Model Theory and Visual Narrative Grammar to understand how we follow stories in film, treating film as a kind of language made up of visual editing (montage), sounds, and dialogue.

Key words: *psycholinguistics, film discourse, cognitive film theory, multimodality, visual narrative grammar, situation models, montage syntax, neurocinematics*

In psycholinguistics, discourse usually means a stretch of language longer than a sentence. Film expands this idea into a multimodal form, combining images, sound, and timing to create meaning beyond just the dialogue:

- Verbal Discourse includes screenplay dialogue and what’s implied beneath it.
- Visual Discourse covers things like set design, camera work, and lighting.
- Syntactic Discourse refers to the editing rules (the montage “grammar”).

The brain must carry out "cross-modal integration," a psycholinguistic process in which the visual "input" (a character's facial expression) and auditory "input" (a character's voice) are combined into a single semantic unit.

When we watch a movie, we actively create Situation Models rather than being passive viewers. According to this psycholinguistic theory, viewers or listeners form mental images of the "who, where, when, and why" of a narrative.

Humans are psycholinguistically biased toward continuity. The Kuleshov Effect is a classic illustration of cognitive priming in movie discourse. The viewer "reads" hunger into the face when a shot of a neutral face is followed by a shot of soup. The brain fills the gaps between cuts to maintain a logical narrative flow, utilizing the same inferencing skills used to understand "The boy felt hungry. He looked at the bowl."

A movie with fast-paced editing or non-linear timelines increases cognitive load in the same way that a complex sentence with several nested clauses does. The sheer amount of discourse markers (visual cues) needed to follow the plot overwhelms the viewer's mental buffer, which explains why some movies feel "exhausting" according to psycholinguistic research on working memory.

The cut is the punctuation if a movie is a language. According to the "Visual Narrative Grammar" theory, our brains use a hierarchy akin to a sentence to process a series of images.

Linguistic Element	Cinematic Equivalent	Function
Morpheme	The Frame	The smallest unit of meaning.

Linguistic Element	Cinematic Equivalent	Function
Word	The Shot	A single continuous take.
Sentence	The Scene	A series of shots with a unified goal.
Paragraph	The Sequence	A distinct narrative movement.

A syntactic violation occurs when a director violates "the 180-degree rule"—an imaginary boundary between characters that shouldn't be crossed. A startling edit compels the brain to stop and reevaluate the discourse in order to regain its equilibrium, much like a "garden path sentence" in linguistics.

Psycholinguistics, especially Conceptual Metaphor Theory, looks at how we connect real experiences to abstract ideas. Films often use these connections to tell their story:

- Being physically close suggests emotional closeness. For example, a tight close-up shot shows intimacy without saying anything.

- Light represents truth. In Film Noir, the sharp contrast between light and dark visually shows moral uncertainty, linking how bright a space is to how clear or true something is.

Cinema is a fast-paced exercise in cognitive decoding, as the theoretical underpinnings of psycholinguistics in film discourse remind us. The "discourse" gets even more complicated as we move toward immersive media like virtual reality, requiring the brain to navigate 360-degree environments while preserving narrative coherence.

Not only does this help us create better films, but it also helps us comprehend how the human mind organizes reality into narratives.

REFERENCES:

1. Anderson, J. D. (1996). *The Reality of Illusion: An Ecological Approach to Cognitive Film Theory*. Southern Illinois University Press. (Explores how our evolutionary perceptual systems handle cinematic motion).
2. Hasson, U., et al. (2008). "Neurocinematics: The Neuroscience of Film." *Projections*. (A landmark study using fMRI to show how different film styles synchronize brain activity across viewers).
3. Lakoff, G., & Johnson, M. (1980). *Metaphors We Live By*. University of Chicago Press. (Essential for understanding the mapping of visual cues to abstract concepts).
4. Metz, C. (1974). *Film Language: A Semiotics of the Cinema*. Oxford University Press. (The classic starting point for viewing film as a formal linguistic system).