

LINGUISTIC ANALYSIS OF EVERYDAY VOCABULARY IN ENGLISH AND UZBEK LANGUAGE

Yunusova Tursunoy Ravshanjon qizi

Student of Graduate Department, Linguistics (English) faculty Fergana State University
abduvaliyevatursunoy@gmail.com +998918848141

Annotation: *This study shows that the household vocabulary in English and Uzbek goes beyond simple labels for everyday objects - it functions as a living system that reflects cultural and linguistic change. Several conclusions emerge. First, household terms have a rich historical and lexical depth. English words often trace their roots to French and Latin, while Uzbek has been influenced by Persian, Arabic, Russian, and increasingly English, demonstrating how these languages reflect long-term cultural interactions. Second, household objects have symbolic significance.*

Keywords: *everyday vocabulary, cultural movements, language environment, cultural identity, technological progress, global influence, cultural interaction*

Objects like the Uzbek tandir or the Western dining table represent values such as hospitality, family cohesion, and continuity across generations. Idioms and proverbs further embed these meanings, showing how domestic language operates metaphorically within cultural contexts. Third, such vocabulary plays a role in expressing social status.

Terms like antique, crystal, or mahogany in English signal affluence, while in Uzbekistan, possessions like suzani textiles or an elaborately made tandir denote honor and social standing. This evaluative aspect reveals how language reflects class and identity. Fourth, the study highlights the impact of technology and globalization.

Terms like microwave, robot vacuum, and smart fridge have become part of everyday language in both English and Uzbek, typically entering through loanwords, while older, traditional terms continue to persist. This blend highlights the hybrid character of contemporary household vocabulary, reflecting a balance between cultural preservation and adaptation to modern life.

Additionally, domestic vocabulary plays a key role in education and social development. It is among the first word sets taught in second-language instruction due to its universal relevance. Today, teaching methods increasingly incorporate both conventional and contemporary items, enhancing not only vocabulary skills but also understanding of cultural contexts.

Another important finding concerns gender associations in domestic language use. In Uzbek, words related to the kitchen are still closely linked to women, whereas in English, such connections have largely faded. This contrast reveals how shifts in household terminology mirror wider societal changes, offering insight into evolving gender roles within the family.

Overall, household vocabulary in English and Uzbek is dynamic, culturally rooted, and shaped by both tradition and innovation. It functions on practical, linguistic, and symbolic levels, carrying meanings tied to history, identity, and shared values.

The blending of old and new terms illustrates how languages evolve under globalization while preserving cultural identity. As such, this vocabulary serves not just as a linguistic category but also as a living record of cultural heritage, connecting past and present and revealing how material culture influences communication across generations.

The words we use for things around the house are always changing because of what's happening in society, the economy, and with technology. In Uzbekistan, you'll find modern gadgets like dishwashers and coffee makers in many homes, but old favorites like the samovar are still important. In English-speaking places, people are also getting more interested in handmade stuff, with words for things like cast-iron skillets and handwoven rugs becoming popular again.

This shows we're starting to value good craftsmanship, even though most things are mass-produced. So, the language we use for household items keeps up with bigger cultural trends. This change isn't happening on its own; it's influenced by how culture, technology, and global trends all mix together. A good example is how new words have popped up because of new appliances.

Things like air fryers, robot vacuums, and smart fridges weren't part of everyday talk twenty years ago, but now you hear them all the time in conversations, ads, and even in learning materials for kids. In Uzbek, many of these new terms are just borrowed from English or Russian, sometimes tweaked a bit to sound right locally, showing how languages pick up new tech words.

Meanwhile, the fact that people still use older words proves that traditions stick around. Items like the Uzbek tandir or the rocking chair in Western homes are still part of the language for household items, appreciated not just for what they do but also for the feelings and culture they represent.

5.2 Recommendations

Based on the study's findings, several suggestions can guide future work in research, education, and cultural practice.

a. Academic Research

1. Future research should broaden its scope by examining household vocabulary in other Central Asian languages and beyond, enabling more comprehensive cross-linguistic comparisons.

2. Large-scale corpus studies are needed to analyze how frequently certain terms are used, how they combine with other words, and how their meanings may be changing in both English and Uzbek.

3. Combining linguistic analysis with insights from anthropology, sociology, and digital humanities can deepen understanding of domestic vocabulary as an element of cultural identity and heritage.

b. Education and Pedagogy

1. Household vocabulary should be more consistently included in language curricula, not only in beginner courses but also in advanced modules focusing on culture and sociolinguistics.

2. Educators should incorporate both traditional and modern household terms in their teaching to foster intercultural awareness and highlight cultural continuity.

3. Creating bilingual educational tools—such as English–Uzbek glossaries of household items—can support language acquisition while helping preserve cultural knowledge.

c. Cultural and Social Practice

1. Public outreach and media initiatives can promote traditional household objects like the tandir, samovar, and suzani as cultural symbols, aiding their transmission to younger audiences.

2. Partnerships between academic researchers and cultural institutions are crucial for documenting and safeguarding the changing language of household items in the digital age.

3. Cultural policymakers and organizations should back efforts to feature traditional domestic objects in tourism, museums, and heritage sectors, while also recognizing their modern forms.

d. Technological and Global Dimensions

1. Ongoing research should examine how technology and global markets influence household vocabulary, particularly through digital communication and brand-driven consumer culture.

2. Digital tools such as online dictionaries and mobile apps could be developed to monitor emerging household terms—including borrowed words and new coinages—ensuring that language documentation evolves alongside cultural change.

REFERENCES:

1. Abdullaeva, N. (2020). The Kitchen A Center of Family Relations and Traditions. . Uzbek Culture and Traditions, 158.
2. Aminah, M., Lustyantje, N., & Chaeruman, U. (2025). A Sociolinguistic Approach to Language Levels in Social Interaction and Cultural Identity. *Journal La Edusci*, 6, 28-47. doi:<http://dx.doi.org/10.37899/journallaedusci.v6i1.1775>
3. Bobojonova, K. (2021). REVIEWS ON THE USAGE OF SPECIFIC BORROWED UNITS IN THE LEXICON OF THE UZBEK LANGUAGE. *Psychology and Education Journal*, 58, 4998- 5002. doi:<http://dx.doi.org/10.17762/pae.v58i1.1721>
4. Csikszentmihalyi, M., & Halton, E. (1981). *The meaning of things: Domestic symbols and the self*: Cambridge university press.
5. Gur-Arieh, S., Mintz, E., Boaretto, E., & Shahack-Gross, R. (2013). An ethnoarchaeological study of cooking installations in rural Uzbekistan: development of a new method for identification of fuel sources. *Journal of Archaeological Science*, 40(12), 4331-4347. doi:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jas.2013.06.001>
6. Hartwell, C. (2023). *Kazakhstan: snow leopard at the crossroads*: Routledge.
7. Howes, A., Brokalaki, Z., Sharifonnasabi, Z., & Licsandru, T. C. (2024). Towards an understanding of domestic practice as commodified work. *Journal of Marketing Management*, 40(17-18), 1529- 1569. doi:<https://doi.org/10.1080/0267257X.2024.2406940>
8. Johnson, R. (2007). The Co-Construction of Roles and Patterns of Interaction in Family Discourse. *Studies in Applied Linguistics & TESOL*, 7. doi:<http://dx.doi.org/10.7916/salt.v7i2.1510>



9. Jumaniyazova, Q. (2019). M. Household Items and the Social Structure of the Family. *Journal of Social Sciences*, 135.
10. Khaydarov, R. (2019). Cultural Interactions in Uzbek and English Lexicons. *International Journal of Linguistic Studies*.
11. Kizi, N. G. I. (2025). LINGOCULTURAL INTERPRETATION OF LEXEMES DENOTING HOUSEHOLD ITEMS IN ENGLISH AND UZBEK LANGUAGES. *Western European Journal of Linguistics and Education*, 3(2), 183-186.
12. Kučerová, A., & List, J.-M. (2025). Everybody Likes to Sleep: A Computer-Assisted Comparison of Object Naming Data from 30 Languages. arXiv preprint arXiv:2501.08312. doi:<https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2501.08312>
13. Laucuka, A. (2018). Communicative Functions of Hashtags. *Economics and Culture*, 15, 56-62. doi:<http://dx.doi.org/10.2478/jec-2018-0006>
14. McGregor, S. L. (2003). Critical discourse analysis: A primer. Paper presented at the Kappa Omicron Nu FORUM. Ozodbekovich, T. Q. (2025). Uzbekistan's initiatives to ensure peace and stability in Central Asia, raising relations of friendship and cooperation with neighboring states to a new level. *Dynamics of Politics and Democracy*, 4(2), 1-9. doi:<https://doi.org/10.35912/dpd.v4i2.2952>