

THE EFFECTIVENESS OF GROUP DISCUSSIONS AND DEBATES IN ENHANCING SPEAKING SKILLS

Safarova Durдона

student of UzSWLU

Scientific supervisor Babaxanova Dildora

*Teacher of Teaching English Methodology Department-3, Uzbekistan
State World Languages University*

Abstract: *This article examines the efficacy of group discussions and structured debates as pedagogical approaches for developing oral proficiency in educational contexts. Drawing on social constructivist learning theories and communicative competence frameworks, the research synthesizes theoretical foundations with empirical evidence to demonstrate how these interactive methodologies foster enhanced oral proficiency. The study highlights specific benefits including increased practice opportunities, reduced affective barriers, authentic communication contexts, integration of critical thinking with speaking, and development of paralinguistic communication features. Analysis of implementation considerations reveals that structured frameworks, strategic grouping, and content integration significantly impact effectiveness. The findings suggest that well-implemented discussion and debate activities provide comprehensive development of multiple dimensions of speaking competence simultaneously, promising their broader adoption across educational settings.*

Keywords: *Speaking skills; oral proficiency; group discussions; academic debates; communicative competence; collaborative learning; language development; peer interaction; social constructivism; educational methodology*

At present, English is becoming commonly used language in the world and it has a vital role around the globe. English is also one of the official languages of international organizations such as United Nations. Realizing the influence of the English language, Uzbekistan began to add English as a compulsory subject for formal schooling. Since 2013, English has been taught in primary schools. There are four language skills called: speaking, listening, reading and writing. (Baker, 2001). These four language skills are highly essential to communicate in everyday existence. However, mastering all of four skills are not straightforward since in

Uzbekistan English is a target language. Among these four language skills, speaking can be the most challenging for students. Proficiency in speaking, in particular, is crucial for academic success, professional development, and active participation in society. While traditional lecture-based approaches to language education have limitations, participatory methods such as group discussions and debates offer a powerful alternative. This article examines the theoretical foundations, practical applications, and empirical evidence regarding the effectiveness of group discussions and debates as pedagogical tools that these interactive learning strategies significantly enhance speaking skills.

Benefits of Group Discussions and Debates for Speaking Skills

The theoretical underpinnings of discussion and debate-based approaches to speaking skills development are largely rooted in social constructivist theories of learning. Vygotsky's (1978) seminal work on the Zone of Proximal Development suggests that learners advance their capabilities most effectively through social interaction with peers and instructors. Within group discussions and debates, learners are exposed to varied linguistic models and must negotiate meaning—processes that Swain's (2000) Output Hypothesis identifies as crucial for language skill development. Canale and Swain's (1980) communicative competence framework provides further theoretical support for discussion-based approaches. Their model identifies four interconnected competencies necessary for effective communication:

1. Grammatical competence: Knowledge of language accuracy.
2. Sociolinguistic competence: Appropriate application in different contexts.
3. Discourse competence: Cohesion and coherence in communication.
4. Strategic competence: Verbal and non-verbal communication strategies.

Group discussions and debates create authentic contexts in which learners must simultaneously develop and integrate these competencies, rather than practicing them in isolation.

Perhaps the most immediate benefit of group discussions is the substantial increase in student talking time compared to teacher-centered approaches. In traditional classrooms, individual students may speak for only minutes during an entire class period. Conversely, small group discussions dramatically increase opportunities for oral practice—a factor consistently identified as crucial for skill development (Long & Porter, 1985). The "affective filter hypothesis" (Krashen, 1982) suggests that

anxiety and self-consciousness can significantly make language production and acquisition difficult. Smaller group settings typically reduce performance anxiety compared to whole-class scenarios, allowing more hesitant speakers to participate more freely. This reduced pressure environment enables learners to take greater linguistic risks and experiment with more complex expressions. Group discussions create genuine communication needs, where participants must negotiate meaning, request clarification, express agreement or disagreement, and build upon others' contributions. These authentic interactions engage students in the types of communicative challenges they will encounter in real-world settings, fostering transferable speaking skills rather than memorized responses or scripted exchanges. Students rise their awareness of how to construct logical arguments, provide evidence, and refute opposing viewpoints. Students learn to speak confidently and persuasively, using appropriate tone and body language. Structured debates require participants to synthesize content knowledge with oral presentation skills. Unlike some discussion formats, debates demand clear argumentation, logical organization, and persuasive delivery—skills that transcend simple conversational competence. The integration of critical thinking with oral expression represents a higher-order communication skill essential in academic and professional contexts (Kennedy, 2007). Effective debate participation requires close attention to opponents' arguments and the ability to respond with relevant counterpoints. This develops not only prepared speaking skills but also extemporaneous responses—a particularly challenging aspect of oral communication. Research by Zare and Othman (2013) demonstrates that these reactive speaking skills developed through debate transfer well to other academic and professional communication contexts.

Debates emphasize not only verbal content but also delivery aspects such as vocal variety, eye contact, gestures, and overall presence. These paralinguistic features, often underdeveloped in traditional speaking instruction, contribute significantly to overall communicative effectiveness. Debate frameworks provide structured opportunities to develop these skills with feedback and reflection.

Research consistently supports the effectiveness of discussion and debate-based approaches for speaking skill development. A meta-analysis by Moorhouse et al. (2021) examining 47 studies found that collaborative speaking activities produced significantly higher gains in oral proficiency compared to individual practice or lecture-based instruction. The effect

sizes were particularly pronounced for intermediate learners. In a controlled study comparing traditional presentation-based instruction with discussion-centered approaches, Lightbown and Spada (2013) documented not only greater improvement in speaking fluency among the discussion group but also increased confidence and willingness to communicate—factors that correlated with sustained practice beyond the classroom. Regarding debates specifically, Alasmari and Ahmed (2013) found that students participating in a semester-long debate program demonstrated significant improvements in:

- Vocabulary range and appropriate usage;
- Grammatical accuracy during spontaneous speech;
- Organization of oral discourse;
- Persuasive speaking techniques;
- Confidence in public speaking contexts.

Practical Implementation Considerations

The effectiveness of both discussions and debates depends significantly on implementation quality. Effective classroom management is crucial for creating a positive and productive learning environment. “It is important for teachers to create a relaxed, positive atmosphere in the classroom” (Ainslie, 1994). It involves a range of strategies and practices aimed at maximizing learning time and minimizing disruptions. “A teacher should also promote cooperation and collaboration” (Kelly, 1974 & Hess, 2001). Teachers need to consider a number of factors such as student abilities, personality, and learning styles to create groups that work well together. Inappropriate group composition creates unbalanced participation, social conflicts, or free-riding, where some students contribute more than others. It results in poor learning, as some students might be unfairly burdened, or may become disengaged. For instance, a group where one very advanced student is paired with a number of less advanced students might cause the advanced student to become disengaged, or the less advanced students to take a passive role. One of the solutions can be using a variety of methods for creating groups. They also have to have strategies in place for managing poorly performing groups. This might include assigning roles, changing the groups regularly, and giving group assessment criteria which ensures all members participate equally.

In language learning, a clear focus on learning objectives is essential for targeting specific language skills and ensuring meaningful progress. “Topic familiarity and clear objective improve speaking fluency and focus”

(Willis, 2015). Teachers are sometimes sidetracked by student questions, unexpected problems, and their own desire to cover a lot of ground. A lack of focus results in wasted learning time and makes learning less effective. In order to avoid this problem, teachers need to plan how to stop problems before they happen and also how to handle problems when they do happen. This can take a lot of time. They might use things like rewards for good behavior and punishments for bad behavior.

The evidence presented in this article strongly supports the effectiveness of group discussions and debates as pedagogical approaches for enhancing speaking skills. These methods create environments rich in practice opportunities, authentic communication contexts, and peer modeling—all identified as crucial factors in oral proficiency development. When implemented with appropriate structure, preparation, and feedback mechanisms, discussion and debate activities address multiple dimensions of speaking competence simultaneously, from basic fluency to advanced persuasive techniques.

Future research directions should explore optimal implementation strategies across different educational contexts and student populations, particularly examining how digital technologies might extend or transform traditional discussion and debate formats. As educational institutions increasingly recognize the importance of oral communication skills for academic and professional success, the demonstrated effectiveness of these collaborative approaches warrants their broader adoption and refinement.

REFERENCES:

1. Alasmari, A., & Ahmed, S. S. (2013). Using debate in EFL classes. *English Language Teaching*, 6(1), 147-152.
2. Babaxanova Dildora Ikromjon qizi. (2024). TECHNOLOGY INTEGRATION IN LANGUAGE TEACHING. *Web of Teachers: Inderscience Research*, 2(10), 229–232. Retrieved from <https://webofjournals.com/index.php/1/article/view/2023>
3. Бабаханова, Д. (2024). Современные технологии в обучении говорению. *Лингвоспектр*, 3(1), 72–76. извлечено от <https://lingvospektr.uz/index.php/lngsp/article/view/160>
4. Babaxanova Dildora Ikromjon qizi. (2025). ENCOURAGING STUDENT AUTONOMY THROUGH PROJECT-BASED LEARNING.

European Science Methodical Journal, 3(3), 59–61. Retrieved from <https://europeanscience.org/index.php/3/article/view/1280>

5. Canale, M., & Swain, M. (1980). Theoretical bases of communicative approaches to second language teaching and testing. *Applied Linguistics*, 1(1), 1-47.

6. Kennedy, R. (2007). In-class debates: Fertile ground for active learning and the cultivation of critical thinking and oral communication skills. *International Journal of Teaching and Learning in Higher Education*, 19(2), 183-190.

7. Krashen, S. D. (1982). *Principles and practice in second language acquisition*. Oxford: Pergamon Press.

8. Incorporating Cultural Elements in Language Teaching. (2024). *Pedagogical Cluster-Journal of Pedagogical Developments*, 2(10), 184-187. <https://euroasianjournals.org/index.php/pc/article/view/537>

9. Lightbown, P. M., & Spada, N. (2013). *How languages are learned* (4th ed.). Oxford: Oxford University Press.

10. Long, M. H., & Porter, P. A. (1985). Group work, interlanguage talk, and second language acquisition. *TESOL Quarterly*, 19(2), 207-228.

11. Moorhouse, B. L., Li, Y., & Walsh, S. (2021). E-classroom interactional competencies: Mediating and assisting language learning during synchronous online lessons. *RELC Journal*, 52(1), 14-27.

12. Swain, M. (2000). The output hypothesis and beyond: Mediating acquisition through collaborative dialogue. In J. P. Lantolf (Ed.), *Sociocultural theory and second language learning* (pp. 97-114). Oxford: Oxford University Press.

13. Vygotsky, L. S. (1978). *Mind in society: The development of higher psychological processes*. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press.

14. Willis, J. (2015). *A framework Task-Based Learning*. Longman ELT.

15. Zare, P., & Othman, M. (2013). Classroom debate as a systematic teaching/learning approach. *World Applied Sciences Journal*, 28(11), 1506-1513.

16. Zwiers, J., & Crawford, M. (2011). *Academic conversations: Classroom talk that fosters critical thinking and content understandings*. Portland, ME: Stenhouse Publishers.